



DECISION

№ 17100-2/ 28.09.2017

For determination of a Contractor under public procurement direct agreement procedure with reg.№ 17100 and subject **“Environmental Cost benefit assessment relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”**

Today 28.09.2017, in TPP “Maritsa East 2” EAD, eng. Zhivko Dimitrov Dinchev – Executive Director of TPP “Maritsa East 2” EAD, taking into account that:

1. With decision №17100-1/07.09.2017 the opening of a public procurement procedure with reg. №17100 and subject **“Environmental Cost benefit assessment relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”** is approved, according to Technical requirements – Attachment 1, divided into four separate lots as follows:

Lot One: Environmental Cost benefit assessment of TPP “Maritsa East 2” EAD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”.

Lot Two: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of Contour Global Maritsa East 3 AD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”.

Lot Three: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of AES-3C Maritsa East 1 EOOD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”.

Lot Four: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of Brikel EAD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”.

2. Estimate value of the Order is 131 200.00 British pounds (GBP), including:

Lot One – 32 800.00 British pounds (GBP)

Lot Two – 32 800.00 British pounds (GBP)

Lot Three – 32 800.00 British pounds (GBP)

Lot Four – 32 800.00 British pounds (GBP)

3. The announcement of the public procurement is published on the website of the Public Procurement Agency under UON 00246-2017-0096.

4. Link to the electronic file in the buyer profile:
<http://www.tpp2.com/invitation/view/id/1268.html>

5. The Report by the Commission appointed by Order №1351/19.09.2017 was approved by the Executive Director on 27.09.2017.

6. There are not conflicts of interest with regard to the participants in the procedure.

Pursuant to Art. 22, para 1, item 6 and para 5, in connection with art. 5, para 4, item 1 of the Public Procurement Act under public procurement direct agreement procedure, with reg. № 17100 and subject “**Environmental Cost benefit assessment relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)**” took the following

DECISIONS:

1. Classifies the participants by lot as follows:

1.1. Lot One: “Environmental Cost benefit assessment of TPP “Maritsa East 2” EAD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”

№	Name of the participant and headquarters	Initially proposed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Final agreed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Ranking
1	Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, Newcastle -upon-Tyne, United Kingdom	32 800.00	32 800.00	I place

1.2. Lot Two: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of Contour Global Maritsa East 3 AD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”

No	Name of the participant and headquarters	Initially proposed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Final agreed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Ranking
1	Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, Newcastle -upon-Tyne, United Kingdom	32 800.00	32 800.00	I place

1.3. Lot Three: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of AES-3C Maritsa East 1 EOOD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”

No	Name of the participant and headquarters	Initially proposed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Final agreed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Ranking
1	Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, Newcastle -upon-Tyne, United Kingdom	32 800.00	32 800.00	I place

1.4. Lot Four: „Environmental Cost benefit assessment of Brikel EAD relating to the achievement of emission levels specified in Best Available Techniques Conclusions (BATC)”

No	Name of the participant and headquarters	Initially proposed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Final agreed price in British pounds (GBP) without VAT	Ranking
1	Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, Newcastle -upon-Tyne, United Kingdom	32 800.00	32 800.00	I place

2. There are no eliminated participants.

3. Assigns as a contractor for execution of a contract under a public procurement, the first ranked participant by lots, as follows:

3.1. Lot One – Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, United Kingdom

3.2. Lot Two – Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd, United Kingdom

3.3. Lot Three– Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd,
United Kingdom

3.4. Lot Four – Amec Foster Wheeler Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd,
United Kingdom

Pursuant to Article 43, paragraph 1 of the PPA, the decision to be sent to the participant in the procedure within three days from the date of its issue.

The decision may be appealed under Article 196 et seq. of the Public Procurement Act before the Commission for Protection of Competition within 10 days of its receipt.



Executive Director:

eng. Zhivko Dinchev